

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,895	08/02/2000	David K. Roberts	PHB 34,371	7980
24737 7	24737 7590 11/10/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	7
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			۶ч			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/630,895	ROBERTS, DAVID K.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lawrence B Williams	2634			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	<u> August 2000</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)🖂	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
•	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
9)🛛 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖾 ¯	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2000</u> is/are:	a) \square accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to ${\sf b}$	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because:
- a.) In Fig. 3, examiner suggests applicant label element 13, "data processing system" as referenced in specification.
- b.) In Fig. 4, examiner suggests applicant label elements 43, 44, 45; "data processing system", "cpu", and "storage", respectively as referenced in specification.
- c.) In Figs. 4-7, the items contained need to contain a text label. It is office policy to request from applicants that submitted figures contain both text and numerical labels to allow individuals viewing each figure to be able to determine the designation of each element in the figure without having to go into the specifications.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 09/630,895

Art Unit: 2634

Page 3

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because Examiner suggests applicant delete line 20.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 4 of the claim reads where ID is the said kernel. "This wording is ambiguous. How can one single ID be regarded as a kernel, when applicant's equation on line 3 above reads "a function of this said ID (|f(ID)|. Applicant's specification on page 16, line 4, references ID as the unique identifier of the mobile stations.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2634

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1 –9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because the claim fails to articulate how each step is incorporated in order to support the method of generating a cyclic sequence of frequencies. The claims appear to be all function language.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 8-10 are allowed.
- 9. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Claims 2-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The instant application discloses a method for generating a sequence of frequencies in a wireless environment. Prior art references show similar methods but fail to teach a sequence of indices being derived from a kernel, updating the list dependent upon a part of a previous selection along with the remaining limitations of the independent claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/630,895

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

Page 5

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-6969.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00) being out of the office

every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Lawrence B. Williams

lbw

October 20, 2003

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600